



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/626,146	07/26/2000	TOSHINORI NAKAYAMA	106364	8065	
25944	7590 11/18/2002				
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 19928			NADAV, ORI		
ALEXANDR	IA, VA 22320		Tubit, on		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2811		
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			aba:			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	u/O			
Advisory Action	09/626,146					
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ori nadav	2811				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress			
THE REPLY FILED 23 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appl (1) a timely filed amendment wh	ication. A proper re nich places the appli	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dhave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of time the control of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meaning patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THat the late on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 Insion and the corresponding amount of the ted statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. .136(a) and the appropriate execution in the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>23 October 2002</u> . 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			forth in			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		nsidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· · · ·	•	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	3 :					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>15,16 and 18-21</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>17</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on i	s a)□ approved or b)□ disa	proved by the Exar	niner.			
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:		m [Nom	~			
	_	•	•			
	TOM THOM/ SUPERVISORY PATENT					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

The examiner maintains the position that there is no support in the embodiment of figure 13 for a substrate having a thinner portion..